

10/003,507

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

11/01/2001 Paul E. Andreasen

381-27-033

9750

7590 04/15/2003

KOPPEL & JACOBS SUITE 107 555 ST. CHARLES DRIVE THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91360

EXAMINER

DINH, TUAN T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2827

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/003,507	ANDREASEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan T Dinh	2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory processed in the set of the	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.
	0414		
	··· <del>·····</del>		
, — 2D) (Z)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	llowance except for formal mander <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the m D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36,39-41 and 44-46</u> is/are pen	ding in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-24 and 44-46</u> i		ation	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	or and with thom consider	ation.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-36 and 39-41</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement		
Application Papers	ra, or oloottor, requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		ne Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1 85(a)	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved b)∏ di	sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n reply to this Office action.	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		plication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stag	е
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. s	110(a) //a a ==== :=: - :	•
a) The translation of the foreign language	Drovisional annlication has be	າ ເອ(ອ) (ເບ a provisional appl	ication).
15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. &	≶i received. § 120 and/or 121	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)   Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)  Office	Action Summary		

Art Unit: 2827

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election of Group II (claims 25-36, and 39-41) in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 25-36, and 39-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-4 of U. S. Patent No. 6,356,450 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a face plate and walls, a circuit board retainer, and

Art Unit: 2827

holder retainer. The limitations of claims 1-4 of U. S. Patent 6,356,450 are encompass the limitations of instant claims 25-36 and 39-41.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

### Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 4, line 11, add "of walls" after -a pair--.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 25-31, 33-36, and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lee (U. S. Patent 6,171,131 B1).

As to claim 25, Lee discloses a circuit board holder (23, column 3, line 14) as shown in figures 1-4 comprising:

Art Unit: 2827

a faceplate (231, column 4, line 11) and walls (234, column 4, line 12) projecting from an inside of the faceplate. The circuit board holder also has circuit board retainers (236, column 4, line 18) at a pair of opposite ones of the walls; and a holder retainer (237, column 4, line 19) at an edge of the face-plate (see figure 1).

As to claim 26, Lee discloses a circuit board holder as shown in figures 1-4 wherein at least one of another pair of the wall has a lateral opening covering more than one half of that one wall.

As to claims 27-29, Lee discloses a circuit board holder as shown in figure 1 wherein the circuit board retainers (236) are inside of the circuit board holder (23) and are external of an inside space (see figure 1) of the circuit board holder.

As to claims 30, 35-36, Lee discloses a circuit board holder as shown in figure 1 including extensions of the pair of opposite walls (234) beyond an inside of the circuit board holder (23). The circuit board retainers (236) are on the extensions and insert circuit board (not shown, column 3, line 11) extending across the circuit board holder between the pair of opposite walls (234) and extending between the circuit board retainers on the extensions.

As to claim 31, Lee discloses a circuit board holder as shown in figure 1 wherein the circuit board retainers (236) include spaced rails (see figure 1) on the pair of opposite walls (234) inside of the circuit board holder (23).

As to claims 33-34, Lee discloses a circuit board holder as shown in figures 1-4 wherein the holder retainer (237) includes a pair of resilient snaps (see figure 1) at the pair of opposite walls (234).

Art Unit: 2827

As to claim 39, Lee discloses a circuit board holder as shown in figures 1-4 including an inserted circuit board having lateral catches (236) externally of a space inside the circuit board holder, the extensions of the pair of opposite walls (234); and the circuit board retainers on the extensions and lateral catches.

As to claim 40, Lee discloses a circuit board holder as shown in figures 1-4 including an aperture in the faceplate; and obviously a circuit board having a LED's consider such as a signal lamp in the aperture.

As to claim 41, Lee discloses a circuit board holder as shown in figures 1-4 including panel-accommodating serrations in the holder retainer (see figure 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. +Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Lai et al (U. S. Patent 5,969,946).

. As to claim 32, Lee discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention, except for circuit board retainers include several spaced rails in each of the pair of opposite walls. Lai shows the circuit board retainers as shown in figures 2 and 3 having several spaced rails in each of opposite walls.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a circuit board holder of Lee and provide the circuit board retainers including several spaced rails in each of the pair of opposite walls as taught by Lai in order to provide the user with expansion means capability.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Richard, III et al, Hikita et al, and Liu et al disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 703-308-3301. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-3431 for regular communications and 703-308-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

**TD** April 11, 2003

WAMAND CUNEO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800